

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,577	02/27/2004	Ga-Lane Chen	9131	
25859 75	590 11/03/2006		EXAMINER	
WEI TE CHUNG			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
FOXCONN IN	TERNATIONAL, INC.			
1650 MEMOREX DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			2871	·
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/788,577	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
• .	Dung Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply	VIC OFT TO EVENE OF MONTH	(O) OD THIDTY (20) DAYC				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	igust 2006	•				
	action is non-final.					
· <u>-</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 13-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,13-20</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	t.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·	* *				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	- N-				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>	•	<del></del>				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d				
	or the defining depice not receive	·				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/788,577

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendment dated 08/15/2006 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 1, 3-6, 13-16 and the newly added claims 17-20 are now pending in the application.

Applicant's arguments dated 08/15/2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejections as follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 13-16 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iijima, US Patent No. 6,906,767, in view of Nakamaru et al., JP 2001-281654.

Regarding the above claims, Iijima discloses a liquid crystal display (LCD) device (figure 9) comprising:

- . a backlight having a light source (71), a light guide plate (72), a reflector (80);
- . a diffuser (30);
- . an LCD panel (20) with a reflective polarizing plate (40);

Iijima, however, does not disclose a plurality of V-shaped grooves, printing dots, a quarter-wave plate and a brightness enhancing film. Nakamaru et al. do disclose that a plurality of V-shape grooves can be directly formed on a top surface of the light guide (figure 5b), a

Application/Control Number: 10/788,577

Art Unit: 2871

plurality of printing dots formed on a bottom surface of the light guide (103), a quarter wave plate (109) and a brightness enhancing film (polarization division plate 108). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the invention was made to modify the Iijima's display device having a plurality of V-grooves, printing dots, a quarter-wave plate and an enhanced film as shown by Nakamaru et al. in order to improve display brightness of an LCD device (see abstract).

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 10/30/2006

Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871